



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/559,452	04/27/2000		Kevin D. McIntosh	P-9056.00	1849	
27581	7590 ·	10/03/2003		EXAMINER		
	MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE				BIANCO, PATRICIA	
MS-LC340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	INNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604				. 1	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	1.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$ \times$					
·	Application N .	Applicant(s)						
Office Astion Comments	09/559,452	KEVIN D. MCINTOSH E	KEVIN D. MCINTOSH ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Patricia Bianco	3762						
► The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet \	vith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			its is					
Disposition of Claims		·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	,							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or <b>Application Papers</b>	r election requirement.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏	disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li></ol>	nts have been received in	Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		;					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).					
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language points</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	* *							
Attachment(s)	, ,							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/559,452

Art Unit: 3762

. 1

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figures 1, 4, and 5, and the species of device connected to the bubble access port.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/559,452

Art Unit: 3762

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Patricia Bianco at telephone number 703-305-1482.

Juhna